UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/566,875	09/18/2006	Tak Wing Lam	PA030018	9565
²⁴⁴⁹⁸ JOSEPH J. LA	7590 10/03/2007 KS, VICE PRESIDENT		EXAM	INER
THOMSON LICENSING LLC			QUADER, FAZLUL	
PATENT OPE PO BOX 5312			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PRINCETON,	NJ 08543-5312		2169	
	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/03/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•			de
· ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
7			
Office Action Summary	10/566,875	LAM ET AL.	
omeo nedem cummary	Examiner	Art Unit	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Fazlul Quader	h the correspondence ad	droce
Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet wit	n die correspondence au	uress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 GFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was a Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 36(a). In no event, however, may a re will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ply be timely filed "HS from the mailing date of this co ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 Section 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under Exercise 2 section 2 section 2 section 2 section 3 section 2 sectio	action is non-final.	•	e merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 02 February 2006 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	r election requirement. r. e: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ c drawing(s) be held in abeyan ion is required if the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CF	FR 1.121(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PT	O-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document: 2. Certified copies of the priority document: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document: application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Aprity documents have been u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National	Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s 5) Notice of In	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date formal Patent Application	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>02/02/2006</u> .	6) Other:		

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-9 are pending in this application.

Claim Objections

2. The following claims are objected to for improper dependencies:

Claims 8 and 9 seem to have improper dependencies. In claims 8 and 9, apparatus claims are mentioned to be dependent on claim 1, which is a method claim. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tripp et al. (US 6516337), hereinafter "Tripp", in view of Mourad et al. (US 20030135464), hereinafter "Mourad".

Application/Control Number: 10/566,875

Art Unit: 2169

1. As to claim 1, Tripp discloses, method for retrieving the file system of a recording medium (col. 3, lines 35-39), including the steps of: determining a signature of the recording medium by measuring features based on a data pattern stored on the recording medium (col. 5, line 66 to col. 6, line 17), the signature including a plurality of elements; comparing the signature with a plurality of signatures stored in a content database; and retrieving the associated file system from the content database (col. 7, lines 42-52).

Tripp, however, does not explicitly disclose, "the signature is equal to a signature stored in the content database".

Mourad, on the other hand, discloses, "the signature is equal to a signature stored in the content database" ([0218], lines 1-14);

Both Tripp and Mourad are of the same field of endeavor, they specifically teach digital signature as method of identifying document (Tripp: col. 7, lines 42-52; Mourad: ([0218], lines 1-14).

It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to incorporate the teachings of Sutton into Tripp of content sending to a central indexing meta data or signatures from objects on a computer network that would have allowed users of Tripp to determine whether the message has not been

Application/Control Number: 10/566,875

Art Unit: 2169

altered during distribution (Mourad: [0218], lines 12-14).

2. As to claim 2, Tripp as modified discloses, method according to claim 1, wherein the step of comparing the signature with a plurality of signatures stored in a content database includes evaluating the distances between the determined signature and the signatures stored in the content database (Tripp: col. 7, lines 28-35).

Page 4

- 3. As to claim 3, Tripp as modified discloses, method according to claim 1, wherein the steps of determining the signature of the recording medium and comparing the signature with a plurality of signatures include: determining a first part of the signature including a plurality of elements (Tripp: col. 6, lines 3-12); comparing the first part of the signature with corresponding parts of the plurality of signatures stored in the content database (Tripp: col. 7, lines 28-35); determining a further part of the signature if the first part of the signature is equal to the corresponding part of at least one signature stored in the content database (Tripp: col. 7, lines; and comparing the further part of the signature with corresponding parts of the plurality of signatures stored in the content database (Tripp: col. 7, lines 35-52; col. 51, lines 49-52).
- 4. As to claim 4, Tripp as modified discloses, method according to claim 1, wherein, in the comparing steps, a negative progressive search approach is employed, in which the elements of the determined signature are compared with the corresponding elements of the signatures stored in the content database one at a time (Tripp: col. 7,

Application/Control Number: 10/566,875 Page 5

Art Unit: 2169

lines 28-35), wherein every element of the signature may yield a negative search result (Tripp: col. 7, lines 28-35; col. 7, lines 56-62).

- 5. As to claim 5, Tripp as modified discloses, method according to claim 1, further including the steps of: obtaining the file system from the recording medium if the determined signature is not equal to a signature stored in the content database (Tripp: col. 7, lines 28-35); and storing the obtained file system and the determined signature in the content database (Tripp: col. 7, lines 28-35; col. 1, lines 57-60).
- 6. As to claim 6, Tripp as modified discloses, method according to claim 1, wherein the signature is unique for every recording medium (Tripp: col. 54, lines 23-25).
- 7. As to claim 7, Tripp as modified discloses, method according to claim 1, wherein the signature elements are selected from the disk status such as open or closed disk, number of sessions or number of tracks in each session, from timing information such as the lead-in time of each session, the lead-out time of each session, the total time of each session or subcode information of each track, or from data integrity such as data checksums of specific tracks (Tripp: col. 1, lines 57-60; col. 6, lines 53 to col. 7, line 2)
- 8. As to claim 8, Tripp as modified discloses, apparatus for reading from and/or writing to recording media, wherein it uses a method according to claim 1 for retrieving the file system of the recording medium (Tripp: col. 3, lines 35-39).

Page o

9. As to claim 9, the claim is rejected for the same reason as claim 1. In addition, Mourad discloses the contents can be played back and playback quality can be checked. (Mourad: [0270], lines 1-8).

Conclusion

16. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Parker et al. (US 20020073106) teach intelligent data inventory and asset management system method and apparatus.

Tripp et al. (US 6976053) teach method for using agents to create a computer index corresponding to the contents of networked computers.

Application/Control Number: 10/566,875

Art Unit: 2169

Page 7

Contact Information

17. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fazlul Quader whose telephone number is 571-270-1905. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-5 Alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mohammad Ali can be reached on 571-272-4105. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Fazlul Quader Examiner Art Unit 2169

Mr 2169